

THE HOST PLANT ECOLOGY OF HELICONIUS BUTTERFLIES
IN NORTHEASTERN COSTA RICA

APPROVED BY SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

Lawrence E. Gilbert

Michael C. Singer

B. L. Turner

Jay L. Buck

THE HOST PLANT ECOLOGY OF HELICONIUS BUTTERFLIES
IN NORTHEASTERN COSTA RICA

by

JOHN TERAH SMILEY, B.Sc.

DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of

The University of Texas at Austin

in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements

for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

December 1978

PREFACE

This thesis represents an attempt to systematically investigate the host plant ecology of a genus of herbivorous insects. "Host plant ecology" may be defined as the biology of the interaction between a herbivorous insect and its host plants. Included in this definition are topics such as (1) plant chemical defenses against herbivory, (2) herbivore counter-adaptations to plant chemical defenses, (3) population regulation of herbivores and host plants, (4) herbivore foraging (or host selection) strategies, (5) structuring of herbivore-host plant communities, and (6) mutualism between host plants and predaceous insects, or "biotic" plant defense.

While some of the above topics may appear to be unrelated subjects from different areas of biology, they are in fact very closely interdependent, and the knowledge of one topic greatly enhances understanding the others. This is especially the case when a group of closely related organisms are under consideration; then, the total variation in life-history strategy is reduced in scope and the interrelationships are more clearly seen among different factors. In this study of Heliconius butterflies, I have attempted to apply a variety of existing biological theories and generalizations to a single community of herbivores and host plants. In addition, when existing generalizations were found to inadequately account for the observed results, new hypotheses have been proposed.

However, in addition to interpretation and generalization, a sound data base is required to lend credence to the conclusions, and therefore much of the thesis is descriptive.

There are six chapters which follow. The first is an attempt to outline a particularly confusing aspect of host plant ecology: the study of plant chemistry and its effect on insect herbivores. Chapter 2 ("Community Composition") describes the field site and the Heliconius community in detail, along with a quantitative assessment of the host plant (Passiflora) community. Interesting generalizations are possible even at this level of investigation, but the chief value of the material in this chapter is in interpreting the results in the later chapters. Chapter 3 ("Host Plant Selection"), Chapter 4 ("Host Plant Chemistry"), and Chapter 5 ("Predators and Parasitoids of Heliconius") quantify several aspects of these butterflies' host plant ecology and interpret some of the observed patterns. The last chapter is an overview of the study and is both a summary and a theoretical interpretation of Chapters 1-5. In addition, there is an appendix which I hope will serve as a "source book" for further studies on this topic.

I have statistically analyzed data whenever there is any possible question as to the statistical validity of conclusions. All statistical tests were derived from Sokal and Rohlf (1969).

Many people made this study possible through their assistance and encouragement. My wife N. K. Smiley aided in all aspects of the

research and preparation of the manuscript. L.E.Gilbert introduced me to Heliconius and provided facilities, financial support, advice, and generally supported the research in every way possible. The staff of the Organization for Tropical Studies were cooperative far beyond the call of duty; without their help the project would have ended prematurely. My scientific view of the project was greatly influenced by discussions with C. Boggs, C. Jordan, P. Levin, H. Dunlap-Pianka, M. Singer, and J. Waage. Facilities were provided by University of Texas U. R. I. grants and NSF grants to L. E. Gilbert, and other financial support by a National Science Foundation predoctoral fellowship and a National Science Foundation grant to L. E. Gilbert and the Organization for Tropical Studies.

b. Methods of Study	29
c. Habitat Selection in <u>Heliconius</u>	25
d. Home Range of Individual <u>Heliconius</u>	26
e. <u>Heliconius</u> Population Density	27
2.4 <u>Passiflora</u> Distribution and Density	29
a. Introduction	31
b. Methods of Study	34
c. <u>Passiflora</u> Distribution and Abundance	35
2.5 <u>Passiflora</u> Availability to <u>Heliconius</u>	35
<u>Host Plant Selection in <u>Heliconius</u></u>	
3.1 Introduction	55
3.2 Egg Collections and Observations at the Field Site	58
3.3 Insectary Studies of <u>Heliconius</u> Oviposition	61
a. General Description of Oviposition Behavior in <u>Heliconius</u>	62
b. Experimental Methods	65
c. Significance of Insectary Studies	69
3.4 Patterns of Host Plant Selection in <u>Heliconius</u>	71
a. Host Specificity	72
b. Niche Overlap and Competition	74

4.	Larval Growth in <u>Heliconius</u>	
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Larvae of <u>Heliconius</u>	85
4.3	Experimental Methods	
	a. Facilities and Experimental Stocks	87
	b. Procedure for Measuring Growth Rate	89
	c. Evaluation of Results: Comparison with Field Conditions	92
4.4	Larval Growth Rates in La Selva <u>Heliconius</u>	
	a. Discussion of Results	93
	b. <u>Heliconius-Passiflora</u> Biochemical Evolution	96
	c. The "Digestive Efficiency" Hypothesis	97
	d. Correlation of Oviposition Preferences to Larval Growth Ability	99
	e. Evolution of Larval Growth Ability	100
5.	Predation of <u>Heliconius</u> Eggs and Larvae	
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	Larval Survivorship at La Selva	113
5.3	Predators and Parasitoids of <u>Heliconius</u> Found on Host Plants	114
	a. Methods	115
	b. Ants Found on <u>Passiflora</u>	115
	c. Egg Parasitism	118
	d. Strategies of Biotic Plant Defense	119
	e. Correlations with <u>Heliconius</u> Density	120
5.4	Egg Placement in <u>Heliconius</u>	121
5.5	Summary	124
6.	Summary and Theoretical Implications	
6.1	Introduction	133
6.2	Composition of <u>Heliconius-Passiflora</u> Community at La Selva	133
6.3	Regulation of <u>Heliconius</u> Population Density at La Selva	135
6.4	Strategies of Host Plant Consumption	138
	a. Host Plant Quantity	139
	b. Host Plant Quality	140
	c. Host Plant Selection	142
	d. Egg Placement	142
6.5	Coevolution Between <u>Heliconius</u> and <u>Passiflora</u>	143
6.6	<u>Passiflora</u> Antiherbivore Adaptations	146

Appendices

	Page
A1. Description of Habitat Categories	149
A2. Capture-Recapture Data and Data Analysis	
1. Methods and Data	151
2. Locations of Capture for Each <u>Heliconius</u> Species	163
3. Capture-Recapture Movement Data	165
4. Body Size Means for Each <u>Heliconius</u> Species	166
5. Correcting for Male-Biased Sampling in Using Lincoln Index of Population Size	167
6. Pollen Gathering Among La Selva <u>Heliconius</u>	169
A3. <u>Passiflora</u> data	
1. <u>Passiflora</u> vegetative characteristics	171
2. Location of tagged <u>Passiflora</u> vines at La Selva	172
A4. Oviposition Test Data	
1. Single Plant Presentation Tests	173
2. Multiple-Plant Presentation Tests	174
3. Egg Placement Data	176
A5. Larval Growth Data and Data Analysis	
1. Larval Growth Data	177
2. Discussion of Measuring Larval Growth Rate	181
3. Means of Growth Parameters for Each Species	186
4. Components of Larval Growth Rate	187
A6. Census of Predators Found on <u>Passiflora</u> Vines	
1. Habitats of <u>Passiflora</u> Vines Censused	188
2. Ant Species on <u>Passiflora</u> , Breakdown by Habitat and Plant Size	189
3. Ant Species on <u>Passiflora</u> , Breakdown by <u>Passiflora</u> Species	190
4. Flea Beetles Found on <u>Passiflora</u>	191
Bibliography	192
Vita	199
2.15 <u>La Selva</u> <u>Passiflora</u> abundance, measured in terms of growing shoots per square meter	52
2.16 Estimated abundance of <u>Passiflora</u> in two habitats at La Selva	53
2.17 Numerical response of <u>Heliconius</u> to <u>Passiflora</u> abundance	54

Figure	Page
3.1 Egg characteristics of <u>Heliconius</u> species at at La Selva	78
3.2 Egg collections at La Selva	79
3.3 Oviposition tests (single plant presentation) on six La Selva <u>Heliconiines</u> which were prevented from ovi- positing for at least 48 hours before each test	80
3.4 Single plant presentation oviposition tests	81
3.5 Multiple plant oviposition choice tests	82
4.1 Coloration and degree of gregariousness in <u>Heliconius</u> larvae	102
4.2 Comparison of development times in field and laboratory <u>Heliconius</u> larvae	103
4.3 Comparison of body size in field and laboratory <u>Heliconius</u> adults	104
4.4 <u>Heliconius</u> larval growth rates	105
4.5 Experiments on larval growth in <u>H.sara</u> and <u>H.doris</u> . .	106
4.6 Larval growth rates of three <u>Heliconius</u> species when raised on five <u>Passiflora</u> species	107
4.7 Host plant acceptability versus host plant palatability in <u>H.cydno</u>	108
4.8 Correlation of host plant acceptability and larval growth rate in <u>H.cydno</u>	109
5.1 Larval survivorship in La Selva <u>Heliconius</u>	126
5.2 Frequency of ant visitation to <u>Passiflora</u> vines in different habitats and of different sizes	127
5.3 Predators of <u>Heliconius</u> eggs and larvae found on <u>Passiflora</u> species	128
5.4 Frequency of egg parasitism at La Selva	129
5.5 Egg parasitism in two habitats at La Selva	130

Figure		Page
5.6	Frequency of <u>Passiflora</u> vines with and without ants and eggs of <u>Heliconius</u>	131
5.7	Egg placement in five <u>Heliconius</u> species	132